U.S. Measurement System



Imaging as a Biomarker: Standards for Change Measurements in Therapy

Breakout Area 4: Open Architecture and Software Tools: Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

Day 1: Summary of "Big Picture Roadmapping

— The What by When?"

Near, Mid and Long-Term Issues

Breakout Discussion Chair
Lawrence Tarbox
Washington University in St. Louis

A U.S. Measurement System Workshop
September 14-15, 2006
National Institute of Standards and Technology

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

Near-Term 1-3 Years

- 1. State Innovation or Challenge: Management of Metadata and ontologies
 - Impact of Success:
 - Consistent interpretation of data
 - Interoperability
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Cultural and process barriers
 - Sorting out competing standards
 - Tools for managing the process
 - ☐ Key Players:
 - CBIO, CAP, caBIG VCDE, RSNA, etc. multiple specialized ontology efforts

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

Near-Term 1-3 Years

- 2. State Innovation or Challenge: "Plug-in" or Service oriented architecture
 - Impact of Success:
 - Reduce cost through reuse
 - Acceleration of commercialization
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Plugging into what? The data management system?
 - Proprietary resistance
 - Poor validation
 - ☐ Key Players:
 - DICOM, caBIG, ???

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

Near-Term 1-3 Years

- 3. State Innovation or Challenge: Reference Implementations
 - Impact of Success:
 - Publicize, promote ideas
 - Jumpstart vendor development
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Agreed-upon standard
 - ☐ Key Players:
 - caBIG

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

- 1. State Innovation or Challenge: Management of Metadata and ontologies
 - Impact of Success:
 - Consistent interpretation of data
 - Interoperability
 - ☐ Technical Barriers:
 - Cultural and process barriers
 - Sorting out competing standards
 - Tools for managing the process
 - ☐ Key Players:
 - CBIO, CAP, caBIG VCDE, RSNA, etc. multiple specialized ontology efforts

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

- 2. State Innovation or Challenge: System quality management
 - Impact of Success:
 - Reduction of cycle time
 - Improved reusability of data
 - ☐ Technical Barriers:
 - Developing metrics and phantoms
 - Agreement on how the data is shared
 - □ Key Players:
 - Manufacturers and clinics

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

- 3. State Innovation or Challenge: Interacting with workflow engines
 - Impact of Success:
 - Flexible sourcing
 - Improves productivity
 - Reduce cycle time
 - Improved reproducibility
 - ☐ Technical Barriers:
 - Agreeing on one of the multitude of models and standards
 - ☐ Key Players:
 - Everybody plus non-medical workflow engine developers

Image and meta-data collection and analysis, data integration and display

- 4. State Innovation or Challenge: Data collection protocol management
 - Impact of Success:
 - Reduced error and variability in data capture
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Proprietary nature of vendors
 - □ Key Players:
 - Physicists
 - Manufacturers
 - Clinicians

Breakout Area 4: Open Architecture and Software Tools: Image and meta-data collection and analysis,

data integration and display

Long-Term 5+ Years

- 1. State Innovation or Challenge: Automation of processing
 - Impact of Success:
 - Nirvana
 - Wicked Kool
 - □ Technical Barriers:
 - Making the processing independent of the data collection
 - Validating the automated process
 - Tying the collected data with the calibration data
 - ☐ Key Players:
 - Everyone